

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,504	07/21/2003	Satoshi Seo	12732-160001	4688	
26171 7	7590 04/12/2006		EXAMINER		
11011 00 140	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.			GARRETT, DAWN L	
P.O. BOX 102 MINNEAPOL	2 IS, MN 55440-1022		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		1774		

DATE MAILED: 04/12/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			./~
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,504	SEO ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dawn Garrett	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a solution of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONUTE, cause the application to become Alexandre 1.136(a).	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communica  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19	January 2006.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ The	nis action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits	s is
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application	on.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1,2,4-13 and 15-22</u>		sideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3,14 and 23-32</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 21 July 2003 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objec	cted to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	ne drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·	· · · · · · ·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority docume</li> </ol>	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in A	Application No	
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the pr</li></ol>	iority documents have been	received in this National Stage	
application from the International Bure			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not	received.	
Attachment(s)	<b>∆</b> □ 1-42		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/19/2006	5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/622,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 1774

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Request for Reconsideration

- 1. This Office is responsive to the applicant's response received January 19, 2006. Claims 1-32 are present in the application. Claims 1, 2, 4-13, and 15-22 are withdrawn from consideration. Claims 3, 14, and 23-32 are currently under consideration.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 3, 14 and 23-32 are again rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bernius et al. (US 2002/0153523) in view of Kono et al. (5,917,693) in further view of Nakayama (US 5,943,154). Bernius et al. teaches organic light emitting diodes on plastic substrates (see title). The Bernius et al. device comprises an optoelectrically active film between two electrodes per the instant anode, cathode and electroluminescence layer (see abstract). Bernius et al. also teaches a separate hole transporting layer (see par. 35) per instant claim 3. Bernius et al. further teaches the anode may be coated with a thin layer of a conducting substance, which may include doped polyaniline (see par. 35). The coating on the anode reads upon the instant "buffer layer" in contact with the anode. Bernius et al. fails to teach the specific polyaniline dopant "TCNQ". Kono et al. teaches it is known in the art to dope polyaniline with TCNQ to form an electrically conductive polyaniline (see col. 5, lines 31-35). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have doped the polyaniline taught by Bernius et al. with TCNQ, because Kono et al. teaches polyaniline doped with TCNQ is an electrically conductive material as required by Bernius et al. Because the references disclose the same materials as set forth by applicant, the redox properties of claims 25, 28, and 31 are deemed to be

Art Unit: 1774

inherent. With regard to claims 26, 29, and 32, emeraldine base of polyaniline is specifically taught by Bernius et al. as a type of polyaniline that is doped (see par. 35). Kono et al. fails to teach a specific derivative of TCNQ comprising a halogen as required by the present claims. Nakayama teaches TCNQ and equivalent materials including TCNQ derivatives comprising halogen substituents and DCNQI derivatives with halogen substituents as having similar electronic properties (see Nakayama col. 12, lines 3-54; also see listing of derivatives in instant specification pages 12-14). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the TCNQ and DCNQI derivatives taught by Nakayama for TCNQ, because the materials have similar properties and would be expected to behave similarly to TCNQ in a device, absent evidence otherwise.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed January 19, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that the secondary reference Kono is directed to a secondary cell and that "the mere use of polyaniline doped with TCNQ in a secondary electric cell would not have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the polyaniline of Bernius". The examiner submits that the secondary reference is relied upon to teach a specific dopant for polyaniline in order to form an electrically conductive polyaniline (see col. 5, lines 31-35). The combination of references is proper because the primary reference specifically teaches a doped polyaniline (see par. 35). Nakayama et al. is relied upon to teach equivalent materials to TCNQ.

Applicant further argues neither Kono nor Bernius recognize damage to an electroluminescence element by water and moisture is reduced when a polymer compound is

Art Unit: 1774

doped with TCNQ. The secondary references are not required to recognize the improvements discovered by applicant. The fact that applicant has recognized another advantage which would flow naturally from following the suggestion of the prior art cannot be the basis for patentability when the differences would otherwise be obvious. See *Ex parte Obiaya*, 227 USPQ 58, 60 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1985).

#### Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dawn Garrett whose telephone number is (571) 272-1523. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached at (571) 272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1774

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dawn Garrett
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1774

Page 5

D.G. April 10, 2006